	Case 2:20-cv-01650-KJM-AC Documer	t 19 Filed 10/13/21 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	JOHNATHAN C. RODRIGUEZ,	No. 2:20-cv-1650 AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	V.	ORDER AND FINDINGS & RECOMMENDATIONS
14	RALPH DIAZ, et al.,	RECOMMENDATIONS
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	By order filed July 19, 2021 the undersigned adopted the April 13, 2020 Screening Order	
18	(ECF No. 10), which was issued by a magistrate judge in the Central District of California, and	
19	found that the complaint did not state a claim for relief. ECF No. 17. Plaintiff was given thirty	
20	days to file an amended complaint and cautioned that failure to do so would result in a	
21	recommendation that this action be dismissed. <u>Id.</u> at 2. After plaintiff failed to file an amended	
22	complaint, he was given an additional twenty-one days to amend the complaint and warned that	
23	failure to do so would result in a recommendation that this action be dismissed without further	
24	warning. ECF No. 18. Twenty-one days have now passed, and plaintiff has not filed an amended	
25	complaint or otherwise responded to the court's order.	
26	Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the Clerk of the Court is directed to	
27	randomly assign a United States District Judge to this action.	
28	////	

Case 2:20-cv-01650-KJM-AC Document 19 Filed 10/13/21 Page 2 of 2

IT IS FURTHER RECOMMENDED that the complaint be dismissed for failure to state a claim for the reasons set forth in the April 13, 2020 Screening Order (ECF No. 10). See L.R. 110; Fed. R. Civ. P. 41(b); 28 U.S.C. § 1915A.

These findings and recommendations are submitted to the United States District Judge assigned to the case, pursuant to the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(l). Within fourteen days after being served with these findings and recommendations, plaintiff may file written objections with the court and serve a copy on all parties. Such a document should be captioned "Objections to Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendations." Plaintiff is advised that failure to file objections within the specified time may waive the right to appeal the District Court's order. Martinez v. Ylst, 951 F.2d 1153 (9th Cir. 1991).

DATED: October 12, 2021

ALLISON CLAIRE

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE

auson Clane